

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

REYNOLDS METALS COMPANY, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

and

NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE  
COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH,  
PENNSYLVANIA,

Plaintiff-in-Intervention,

v.

ALCAN, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. C04-0175L

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STAY  
DISCOVERY OR TO EXPEDITE  
REVIEW OF MOTION TO DISMISS

This matter comes before the Court on the “Motion to Stay Discovery Directed to Alcan, Inc., or in the Alternative, for Expedited Review of Motion to Dismiss” (Dkt. # 98, the “Motion”) filed by defendant, Alcan, Inc. (“Alcan”). At the same time it filed this Motion, Alcan also filed a motion to dismiss. Alcan argues that it has been “effectively dismissed” (Motion at p. 2) from the case as a result of this Court’s February 15, 2005 order regarding the summary judgment motion of Alcan Aluminum Corporation (Dkt. # 90, the “Prior Order”).

As Alcan is aware, this Court granted plaintiffs’ motion to reconsider a portion of the

ORDER DENYING MOTION  
TO STAY DISCOVERY OR TO  
EXPEDITE REVIEW OF MOTION TO  
DISMISS

1 Prior Order and is awaiting submissions from Alcan Aluminum Corporation on that issue. As a  
2 result, Alcan's reliance on the Prior Order is premature. Alcan's motion to dismiss will be  
3 addressed in its proper course. In the meantime, Alcan is not exempt from any of its discovery  
4 obligations.

5 For all of the foregoing reasons, Alcan's "Motion to Stay Discovery Directed to Alcan,  
6 Inc., or in the Alternative, for Expedited Review of Motion to Dismiss" (Dkt. # 98) is DENIED.

7  
8 DATED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2005.

9  
10 

11 Robert S. Lasnik  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

ORDER DENYING MOTION  
TO STAY DISCOVERY OR TO  
EXPEDITE REVIEW OF MOTION TO  
DISMISS